

Serial No. 10/755,886

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 1, 8 and 9 were originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Responsive to this, claims 1, 8 and 9 are amended according to the Examiner's instruction and suggestion, and it is believed that, by the amendments, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph should be removed.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 were originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clarin (US-2,207,338).

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 3 and 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action and if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Responsive to this, claims 2 and 3 are deleted, and claim 1 is amended which is substantially the combination of original claims 1-3 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art reference cited by the Examiner.

In addition, claim 6 is amended to form an independent claim which is substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 6 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art reference cited by the Examiner.


Serial No. 10/755,886

Accordingly, by the amendments, it is believed that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) should be withdrawn, and the claims 1 and 4-9 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Yong-Chang Wen



Alan D. Kamrath
Registration No. 28,227
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 820
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3325
Telephone: 612-339-7461
Fax: 612-349-6556

Dated: October 4, 2004.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.